

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,727	09/09/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14168US02	2798
23446 7590 05/07/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER	
			PARK, JUNG H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1/2
1
₽,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/658,727	KARAOGUZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jung Park	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification Objections

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

It is required to update related application serial numbers and remove the Attorney Docket No. in the specification (see pages 1 and 20, some other pages).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 10-17, and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2004/0039817, "Lee").

Regarding claims 1 and 21, Lee discloses a method [and a system] for providing communication in a multi-band multi-protocol hybrid wired/wireless network, the method comprising:

- determining a protocol (selecting one of 802.11, see 110-114 fig.1 and $\P.29$) associated with a communication signal for an access point (signal, see $\P.35$);
- allocating a processor compatible with the determined protocol (selecting APs with processor for processing one of 802.11 protocol, see 110-114 fig.1 and ¶.29); and
- processing the communication signal by the allocated processor (next step of 138 fig.1 and $\P.35$).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,727

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 2, Lee discloses, "further comprising selecting the allocated processor from a pool of available processors for the processing of the communication signal (110-114 fig.1)."

Regarding claim 3, Lee discloses, "wherein the allocating further comprises updating the processor to be capable of the processing of the communication signal (122 fig.1)."

Regarding claim 4, Lee discloses, "wherein the updating further comprises downloading protocol code compatible with the determined protocol to the processor (inherent to access one of 802.11 protocols, see ¶.29)."

Regarding claim 5, Lee discloses, "further comprising storing the compatible protocol code in a memory (inherent to save the protocol code in a not shown memory, see fig.1 and $\P.29$)."

Regarding claim 6, Lee discloses, "wherein the downloading further comprises retrieving the compatible protocol code from a portion of the memory (retrieve to configure, see ¶.29)."

Regarding claim 7, Lee discloses, "further comprising associating the determined protocol code with the portion of the memory (store obtained information, see ¶.35)."

Application/Control Number: 10/658,727

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 10, Lee discloses, "wherein the protocol is one of an 802.11a, 802.11b, 802.11g and Bluetooth protocol (¶.11)."

Regarding claim 11, it is a claim corresponding to claim 1, except the limitation of "computer-readable medium (inherent to have a medium to operate the flowchart in fig.1 and other algorithms, see $\P.7$)" and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claims 12-17 and 20, they are claims corresponding to claims 2-7 & 10, respectively and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of the claims.

Regarding claims 22-27, they are claims corresponding to claims 2-7, respectively and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8, 9, 18, 19, and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Schmidt (US 7058040, "Schmidt").

Application/Control Number: 10/658,727

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 8, Lee lacks what Schmidt discloses, "further comprising tuning at least one transceiver device to at least one of a receive and a transmit frequency associated with the communication signal (col.4, ln.4-16)." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to apply a transceiver taught by Schmidt into the system of Lee in order to tune a transmit frequency for better/optimum performance.

Regarding claim 9, Lee lacks what Schmidt discloses, "wherein the processor is a digital signal processor (DSP) (153 fig.2A and col.5, In.51-56)." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to apply a DSP taught by Schmidt into the system of Lee in order to have embedded functions in the DSP since DSP is a special-purpose CPU used for digital signal processing applications to provide ultra-fast instruction sequences.

Regarding claims 18, 19, 28, 29, and 30, they are claims corresponding to claims 8, 9, 8, 9, & 10, respectively and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of the claims.

Regarding claim 31, Lee discloses, "wherein the at least one integrated transceiver utilizes a single protocol stack for processing the communication signal for the 802.11a, 802.11b, and 802.11g protocols (see ¶.11), but lacks what Schmidt discloses, "Bluetooth protocol (col.1, ln.31)." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include Bluetooth

Application/Control Number: 10/658,727 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

protocol taught by Schmidt into the stack of Lee in order to provide more options clients looking Bluetooth technology which is available at the time of invention.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jung Park whose telephone number is 571-272-8565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

Jung Park Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chou T. Nfeyer

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600